

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 12-2373**

---

ESPERANZA GUERRERO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

JUAN GUERRERO; JJG, Minor; MG, Minor; JG, Minor; MARIA  
MUNGUIA; KG, Minor,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DAVID L. MOORE, in his official and individual capacity,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

CHARLIE T. DEANE, in his official capacity; LUIS POTES, in  
his official and individual capacity; ADAM HURLEY, in his  
official and individual capacity; DOES 1-6, in their  
official and individual capacities; ROES 1-5, in their  
official and individual capacities; PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY  
POLICE DEPARTMENT; PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY; MATTHEW CAPLAN, in  
his official and individual capacity; KAREN MUELHAUSER, in  
her official and individual capacity; DOES 1-5, in their  
official and individual capacities,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Virginia, at Alexandria. James C. Cacheris, Senior  
District Judge. (1:09-cv-01313-JCC-TRJ)

---

Submitted: March 21, 2013

Decided: April 2, 2013

---

Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Esperanza Guerrero, Appellant Pro Se. Jeffrey Notz, Mary Alice Rowan, COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, Prince William, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Esperanza Guerrero appeals the district court's summary judgment order disposing of all but one of her various claims, and challenges the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the jury's verdict for Defendant Moore on that remaining claim. As for the claims disposed of on summary judgment, this court reviews the district court's summary judgment order de novo, viewing the facts and reasonable inferences in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. Bonds v. Leavitt, 629 F.3d 369, 380 (4th Cir. 2011). Summary judgment is appropriate where the movant shows that there is no genuine issue of material fact and that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). We have reviewed the record and find no error on the part of the district court. As for the claim decided by the jury, this court is without authority to review the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the jury's verdict, in light of Guerrero's failure to raise an appropriate post-verdict motion in the district court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 50, 59. Unitherm Food Sys., Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc., 546 U.S. 394, 404 (2006); A Helping Hand, LLC v. Balt. Cnty., 515 F.3d 356, 369-70 (4th Cir. 2008).

Accordingly, we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and affirm both the district court's order and the

jury's verdict. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED