UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-2373

ESPERANZA GUERRERO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

JUAN GUERRERO; JJG, Minor; MG, Minor; JG, Minor; MARIA MUNGUIA; KG, Minor,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DAVID L. MOORE, in his official and individual capacity,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

CHARLIE T. DEANE, in his official capacity; LUIS POTES, in his official and individual capacity; ADAM HURLEY, in his official and individual capacity; DOES 1-6, in their official and individual capacities; ROES 1-5, in their official and individual capacities; PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT; PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY; MATTHEW CAPLAN, in his official and individual capacity; KAREN MUELHAUSER, in her official and individual capacity; DOES 1-5, in their official and individual capacities,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. James C. Cacheris, Senior District Judge. (1:09-cv-01313-JCC-TRJ)

Appeal: 12-2373 Doc: 13 Filed: 04/02/2013 Pg: 2 of 4

Submitted: March 21, 2013 Decided: April 2, 2013

Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Esperanza Guerrero, Appellant Pro Se. Jeffrey Notz, Mary Alice Rowan, COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, Prince William, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 12-2373 Doc: 13 Filed: 04/02/2013 Pg: 3 of 4

PER CURIAM:

Guerrero appeals the district Esperanza summary judgment order disposing of all but one of her various claims, and challenges the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the jury's verdict for Defendant Moore on that remaining claim. As for the claims disposed of on summary judgment, this court reviews the district court's judgment order de novo, viewing the facts and reasonable inferences in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. Bonds v. Leavitt, 629 F.3d 369, 380 (4th Cir. 2011). Summary judgment is appropriate where the movant shows that there is no genuine issue of material fact and that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). We have reviewed the record and find no error on the part of the district court. As for the claim decided by the jury, this court is without authority to review the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the jury's verdict, in light of Guerrero's failure to raise an appropriate post-verdict motion in the district court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 50, 59. Unitherm Food Sys., Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc., 546 U.S. 394, 404 (2006); <u>A Helping Hand</u>, <u>LLC v. Balt. Cnty.</u>, 515 F.3d 356, 369-70 (4th Cir. 2008).

Accordingly, we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and affirm both the district court's order and the

Appeal: 12-2373 Doc: 13 Filed: 04/02/2013 Pg: 4 of 4

jury's verdict. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED