US v. Jorge Petter Appeal: 12-6033 Document: 5 Date Filed: 05/01/2012 Page: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6033

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JORGE PETTER,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District Judge. (2:06-cr-00162-RAJ-JEB-1)

Submitted: April 26, 2012 Decided: May 1, 2012

Before GREGORY, AGEE, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jorge Petter, Appellant Pro Se. Laura Marie Everhart, Assistant United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 403880241

PER CURIAM:

Jorge Petter appeals the district court's order denying his motion for correction of judgment under Fed. R. Crim. P. 36. Because we conclude that there were no clerical errors, we affirm. See United States v. Buendia-Rangel, 553 F.3d 378, 379 (5th Cir. 2008) (affirming denial of Rule 36 motion where judgment lacked indicia of mistake or oversight, but rather reflected deliberate use of terminology employed). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED