Marqueion Harrison v. Willie Davi Appeal: 12-6086 Doc: 26

Filed: 06/12/2012 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6086

MARQUEION JAMAL HARRISON,

Petitioner - Appellant,

V.

WILLIE DAVIS,

Respondent Appellee,

and

HALIFAX COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,

Respondent.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, District Judge. (5:10-hc-02235-FL)

Submitted: May 24, 2012 Decided: June 12, 2012

Before MOTZ and DAVIS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Marqueion Harrison, Appellant Pro Se. Mary Carla Hollis, Assistant Attorney General, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 403941729

PER CURIAM:

Marqueion Jamal Harrison seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2006) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Harrison has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny his motion for appointment of counsel, motion for bail or release pending appeal, and supplemental motion for bail or release pending appeal, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the

Appeal: 12-6086 Doc: 26 Filed: 06/12/2012 Pg: 3 of 3

facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED