US v. Gebront Gaddy Appeal: 12-6219

Doc: 6 Filed: 05/31/2012 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6219

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GEBRONT MAZAUNTI GADDY, a/k/a T, a/k/a JB,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, at Martinsburg. John Preston Bailey, Chief District Judge. (3:08-cr-00050-JPB-DJJ-1; 3:11-cv-00049-JPB-DJJ)

Submitted: May 24, 2012 Decided: May 31, 2012

Before MOTZ and DAVIS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Gebront Mazaunti Gaddy, Appellant Pro Se. Paul Thomas Camilletti, Assistant United States Attorney, Martinsburg, West Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 403923937

## PER CURIAM:

Gebront Mazaunti Gaddy seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2011) motion. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B) (West 2006 & Supp. 2011). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Gaddy that the failure to file timely objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when been warned the parties have of the consequences Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th noncompliance. Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Gaddy has waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal.\*

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  We have reviewed the supplemental authorities Gaddy filed and conclude that they do not alter the disposition of this appeal.

Appeal: 12-6219 Doc: 6 Filed: 05/31/2012 Pg: 3 of 3

before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED