US v. Craig Winkey Appeal: 12-6340

Doc: 18 Filed: 07/20/2012 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6340

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CRAIG WINKEY,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Catherine C. Blake, District Judge. (1:06-cr-00207-CCB-1; 1:11-cv-02017-CCB)

Decided: July 20, 2012 Submitted: July 17, 2012

Before SHEDD, DUNCAN, and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Craig Winkey, Appellant Pro Se. Debra Lynn Dwyer, Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 403997039

PER CURIAM:

Craig Winkey seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2012) The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Winkey has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately Appeal: 12-6340 Doc: 18 Filed: 07/20/2012 Pg: 3 of 3

presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED