US v. William Cro Appeal: 12-6365 Doc: 14 Filed: 10/18/2012 Pg: 1 of 3 Doc. 404132752

ON REHEARING

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6365

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

WILLIAM TERRENCE CROSS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 12-6372

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

WILLIAM TERRENCE CROSS,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, Chief District Judge. (2:03-cr-00010-RBS-1)

Submitted: June 14, 2012 Decided: October 18, 2012

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Appeal: 12-6365 Doc: 14 Filed: 10/18/2012 Pg: 2 of 3

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William Terrence Cross, Appellant Pro Se. Laura Pellatiro Tayman, Assistant United States Attorney, Newport News, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Terrence Cross appeals the district court's orders denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006) motion and motion for reconsideration under Fed. R. Crim. P. 35(a). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. We affirm the denial of 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) relief for the reasons stated by the district court. United States v. Cross, No. 2:03-cr-00010-RBS-1 (E.D. Va. Jan. 19, 2012).* Because the district court lacked the authority to consider Cross's motion for reconsideration, see United States v. Goodwyn, 596 F.3d 233, 235-36 (4th Cir. 2010), we affirm the district court's order denying the motion. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} In our previous opinion, the date of the district court's order was incorrect. The opinion after rehearing corrects the date.