

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6950

WILLIAM CLAYTON MCKINNEDY, III,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MS. BRENDA KEE-LIPPE, 041334 Officer; SERGEANT CLARK, A-2 Shift; LIEUTENANT G. MACKAY; CAPTAIN SMITH; C. JOHNSON; R. MILLER; T. SMITH; THOMPSON; M. JONES; FORD; D. SEWARD; J. WASHINGTON; J. MCKAYE; C. REYNOLDS; J. ARMSTRONG; A. SELLARS; P. HOUGH; A. HARDIN; M. COLEMAN; G. POTOKA; O. SHAHEED; ROBERT WARD; D. PATTERSON; C. LONG; SCARBOROUGH; N. HUGHES, JR.; E. ROWE; C. CANNON; S. WILLIS; B. BAKER; H. MCMASTER; J. OZMINT; ROLLINGS; T. MUTAKABBIR; J. SLIGH, JR.; R. PITTMAN,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior District Judge. (6:10-cv-02298-HMH)

Submitted: September 27, 2012

Decided: October 2, 2012

Before MOTZ, DAVIS, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William Clayton McKinnedy, III, Appellant Pro Se. Steven Michael Pruitt, MCDONALD, PATRICK, TINSLEY, BAGGETT & POSTON, Greenwood, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Clayton McKinnedy, III, appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint and denying his motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. McKinnedy v. Kee-Lippe, No. 6:10-cv-02298-HMH (D.S.C. Mar 13, 2012 & Apr. 16, 2012). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED