W. Lee v. Carroll Murraybey Appeal: 12-7159 Doc: 11 Filed: 11/06/2012 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 404159874

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-7159

W. DAVID LEE, Judge Cabarrus County Superior Court,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CARROLL MURRAYBEY,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. William L. Osteen, Jr., District Judge. (1:12-cr-00190-WO-1)

Submitted: November 2, 2012 Decided: November 6, 2012

Before WILKINSON, KEENAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed in part; affirmed in part by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Carroll Murraybey, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 12-7159 Doc: 11 Filed: 11/06/2012 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Carroll Murraybey appeals the district court's order remanding his state criminal prosecution to North Carolina state Because Murraybey fails to challenge on appeal the district court's conclusion that removal was improper arguably has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. In any event, to the extent the district court relied on 28 U.S.C. § 1443 (2006) in concluding that removal was improper, we find no reversible error and affirm this portion of the district court's order on the reasoning of the district court. See Lee v. Murraybey, No. 1:12-cr-00190-WO-1 (M.D.N.C. June 8, 2012); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d) (2006). To the extent that the district court concluded it lacked subject matter jurisdiction under removal provisions other than § 1443, we dismiss the See Severonickel v. Gaston Reymenants, 115 F.3d 265, 266-69 (4th Cir. 1997); Noel v. McCain, 538 F.2d 633, 635 (4th Cir. 1976).

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED IN PART; AFFIRMED IN PART