Filed: 10/16/2012 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-7328

DWIGHT XAVIER JONES,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SERGEANT CLAWSON, Lexington County Detention Center; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER JOHNATHAN JEFFCOAT, Lexington County Detention Center; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER RANDLE, Lexington County Detention Center; JASON MERRILL, Cayce Public Safety,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Orangeburg. R. Bryan Harwell, District Judge. (5:11-cv-01533-RBH)

Submitted: October 11, 2012 Decided: October 16, 2012

Before KING, DUNCAN, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Dwight Xavier Jones, Appellant Pro Se. Daniel C. Plyler, DAVIDSON & LINDEMANN, PA, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 404128165

PER CURIAM:

Dwight Xavier Jones appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B) (West 2006 & Supp. 2012). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Jones that failure to file timely and specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when parties have been warned the of the consequences Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., noncompliance. 416 F.3d 310, 315-16 (4th Cir. 2005); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985). Jones has waived appellate review by failing to file specific objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED