

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 12-7365**

---

ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Formally sued as Rodney Blacknall  
ATF Agent; Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives  
Bureau,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

**No. 12-7381**

---

ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

OFFICER BRIGGS, Officer, CMPD, sued individually and in  
their official capacity; ROBERT J. WISE, Officer, CMPD,  
sued individually and in their official capacity; CHIP R.  
BUSKER, Sergeant, CMPD, sued individually and in their  
official capacity; KYLE J. O'DELL, Officer, CMPD, sued  
individually and in their official capacity; J. E.  
HARTNESS, Officer, CMPD, sued individually and in their  
official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

No. 12-7386

---

ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RICK F. HETZEL, SBI Agent, North Carolina State Bureau of  
Investigation,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western  
District of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad,  
Jr., Chief District Judge. (3:11-cv-00594-RJC; 3:12-cv-00097-  
RJC; 3:11-cv-00443-RJC)

---

Submitted: December 13, 2012                      Decided: December 20, 2012

---

Before MOTZ, WYNN, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Anthony Williams, Appellant Pro Se. Paul Bradford Taylor, OFFICE  
OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Asheville, North Carolina;  
Richard Rustin Perlungher, CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG POLICE  
DEPARTMENT, Charlotte, North Carolina; Angel Evon Gray, Jennifer  
Joy Strickland, Assistant Attorneys General, Raleigh, North  
Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Anthony Williams appeals the district court's orders denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaints related to his 2002 arrest and 2003 conviction and denying his motions for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Williams v. United States, No. 3:11-cv-00594-RJC (W.D.N.C. June 11, 2012); Williams v. Briggs, No. 3:12-cv-00097-RJC (W.D.N.C. May 31 & Aug. 6, 2012); Williams v. Hetzel, No. 3:11-cv-00443-RJC (W.D.N.C. July 3 & Aug. 6, 2012). We grant Williams' motion to substitute brief. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED