

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 12-7457**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DAVID LAMONT BEEKS,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior District Judge. (6:01-cr-00828-HMH-12)

---

Submitted: November 2, 2012

Decided: November 6, 2012

---

Before WILKINSON, KEENAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

David Lamont Beeks, Appellant Pro Se. Elizabeth Jean Howard, Assistant United States Attorney, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David Lamont Beeks appeals the district court's orders denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006) motion for sentence reduction and denying his subsequent motion for reconsideration. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the court's order denying Beeks' § 3582(c)(2) motion for the reasons stated by the district court. United States v. Beeks, No. 6:01-cr-00828-HMH-12 (D.S.C. July 27, 2012).

We also conclude that the district court lacked authority to entertain Beeks' motion for reconsideration. See United States v. Goodwyn, 596 F.3d 233, 235-36 (4th Cir. 2010). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order denying Beeks' motion for reconsideration. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED