

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-1328

HIEDA A. KEELER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

POLICE CHIEF JAMES D. FOX; OFFICER THOMAS A. SHULL, JR.; OFFICER DAVID GREEN; OFFICER JUSTIN BRIGGS; OFFICER MICHELLE RIVERA; SERGEANT JOHN POLAK; LIEUTENANT SUTTON; DETECTIVE M. JOHNSON; OFFICER HOSE H. HERNANDEZ-ZAMORA; CAPTAIN LARRY MINKOFF; NEWPORT NEWS SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; SHERIFF GABE MORGAN; SHERIFF MALE, responded to Riverside; SHERIFF FEMALE, responded to Riverside; VIRGINIA BEACH PSYCHIATRIC CENTER; DR. STEPHEN G. CUNNINGHAM; DR. MARK G. CLARKE; ATTORNEY JUDITH DOCKERY; ATTORNEY CHAD DORSK; OFFICER NEIDENGARD; OFFICER JUNEAU; SERGEANT HINES; OFFICER HANKINS; PAUL COMPTON, Virginia State Police Trooper; TONY PASSARO, Virginia State Police Trooper; DARRELL HOLLOWELL, Virginia State Police Trooper; FEMALE EMT, Newport News EMT; MALE EMT, Newport News EMT; CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Arenda Wright Allen, District Judge. (2:12-cv-00325-AWA-TEM)

Submitted: June 20, 2013

Decided: June 25, 2013

Before GREGORY, DUNCAN, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Hieda A. Keeler, Appellant Pro Se. Jeff W. Rosen, PENDER & COWARD, PC, Virginia Beach, Virginia; Rodney Seth Dillman, HANCOCK, DANIEL, JOHNSON & NAGLE, PC, Virginia Beach, Virginia; Megan Paulita Bradshaw, Dante Medardo Filetti, GOODMAN, ALLEN & FILETTI, PLLC, Norfolk, Virginia; Farnaz Farkish, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia; Joshua James Coe, Virginia Beach, Virginia; Darlene P. Bradberry, OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, Newport News, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Hieda A. Keeler appeals the district court's order denying her "Motion to deny Consent Order Granting Substitution of Attorney," "Motion for Hearing," and "Motion for Recusal," and dismissing her civil action for lack of jurisdiction. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Keeler v. Fox, No. 2:12-cv-00325-AWA-TEM (E.D. Va. Feb. 19, 2013). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED