

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-1632

YURI J. STOYANOV,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CHARLES BEHRLE, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of the Carderock Division; GARY M. JEBSEN, Individually and his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; KEVIN M. WILSON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74; BRUCE CROCK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 743; DAVID CARON, Individually as in his Official Capacity as Assistant Counsel Code 39; RAY MABUS, Secretary of the Navy; JOSEPH VIGNALI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7207; CIRO MINOPOLI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 75; ROGER FORD, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 7014; GARTH JENSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Deputy Head of Code 70; DONALD CLARK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 713; SUZANNE D. FRIEDMAN, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Acting Head of Code 30; CHARLES GIACCHI, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Technical Director of NSWC; ARCHER MACY, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Naval Surface Warfare Center,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

DONALD C. WINTER, Secretary of the Navy,

Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Deborah K. Chasanow, Chief District Judge. (1:07-cv-01953-DKC)

Submitted: September 24, 2013 Decided: September 26, 2013

Before NIEMEYER and THACKER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Yuri J. Stoyanov, Appellant Pro Se. John Walter Sippel, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Yuri J. Stoyanov appeals the district court's order granting Defendants' summary judgment motion on his several federal and state law claims against them, and its order denying his motion for reconsideration and his self-styled "Motion to Investigate Fraud in 3/15/13 Order and Memorandum Opinion and Delay in Sending Until 2 May 2013[.]" We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's orders. See Stoyanov v. Behrle, No. 1:07-cv-01953-DKC (D. Md. March 15, 2013; May 15, 2013). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED