Arthur Hill v. Equifax Information Service Appeal: 13-1823 Doc: 14 Filed: 09/03/2013 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-1823

ARTHUR HILL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. William L. Osteen, Jr., Chief District Judge. (1:11-cv-00107-WO-LPA)

Submitted: August 29, 2013 Decided: September 3, 2013

Before DUNCAN, AGEE, and, KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Arthur Hill, Appellant Pro Se. Keasha Ann Broussard, Barry Goheen, KING & SPALDING, LLP, Atlanta, Georgia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 404609311

PER CURIAM:

Arthur Hill seeks to appeal the district court's order denying Hill's motion to vacate various text orders, to exclude a witness declaration, objecting to the magistrate judge's order ${\tt Hill}$ leave to amend, objecting to and reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order granting the Defendant's motion to extend page limits, and Hill's motion to disqualify the district court judge. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2006), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2006); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Hill seeks neither a final order to appeal is nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED