UNPUBLISHED

UNITED	STATE	ES CC	URT	OF .	APPEALS
FOF	THE	FOUR	TH C	IRC	UIT

No. 13-1835

In re: TERRANCE SYKES,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus. (7:13-cv-00207-SGW-RSB)

Submitted: August 29, 2013 Decided: September 3, 2013

Before DUNCAN, AGEE, and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Terrance Sykes, Petitioner Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Terrance Sykes petitions for a writ of mandamus seeking an order directing the district court to consider his various filings as he captioned them, grant the relief they request, and enter an appealable final order. We conclude that Sykes is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. Kerr v. United States

Dist. Court, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); United States v.

Moussaoui, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan

Ass'n, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. In re Lockheed Martin Corp.,

503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007).

The district court has already entered a final order from which Sykes may attempt to appeal and the remainder of the relief sought by Sykes is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED