

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-1978

VENIDA MARSHALL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, Senior District Judge. (8:11-cv-01232-PJM)

Submitted: January 23, 2014

Decided: February 19, 2014

Before SHEDD, DAVIS, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Venida Marshall, Appellant Pro Se. Robert Judah Baror, Linda Hitt Thatcher, THATCHER LAW FIRM, Greenbelt, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Venida Marshall appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment to the Defendant in Marshall's employment discrimination action. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error.* Accordingly, we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis and affirm for the reasons stated by the district court at the hearing on July 2, 2013. Marshall v. Bd. of Educ. of Prince George's Cnty., No. 8:11-cv-01232-PJM (D. Md. filed July 3, 2013; entered July 5, 2013). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* In addition to challenging the district court's rejection of her claims on the merits, Marshall also asserts that the district court's order should be vacated because her counsel was ineffective. However, a litigant in a civil action has no constitutional or statutory right to effective assistance of counsel. Sanchez v. U.S. Postal Serv., 785 F.2d 1236, 1237 (5th Cir. 1986); see Pitts v. Shinseki, 700 F.3d 1279, 1284-86 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (collecting cases recognizing rule), cert. denied, 133 S. Ct. 2856 (2013).