## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

 FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUITNo. 13-2012

AMAR BANSAL; BINA BANSAL,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,
v.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND; THOMAS BERRY, Officer, Montgomery County Maryland Department of Police,
Defendants - Appellees,
and
MONTGOMERY COUNTY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF POLICE; MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. J. Frederick Motz, Senior District Judge. (8:12-cv-00519-JFM)

Submitted: August 25, 2014 Decided: September 5, 2014

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Billy L. Ponds, THE PONDS LAW FIRM, Washington, D.C., for Appellants. Marc P. Hansen, County Attorney, Patricia P. Via, Chief, Division of Litigation, Karen L. Federman Henry, Chief,

Division of Finance and Procurement, Patricia Lisehora Kane, Associate County Attorney, Rockville, Maryland, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:
Amar and Bina Bansal seek to appeal the district court's order granting the motion to dismiss the claims against Montgomery County, Maryland, and Officer Thomas Berry in the Bansals' 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) action. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order the Bansals seek to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

