UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-6712

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

IVAN WALTERS,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior District Judge. (6:08-cr-00385-HMH-1)

Submitted: October 17, 2013 Decided: October 21, 2013

Before AGEE, DAVIS, and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ivan Walters, Appellant Pro Se. David Calhoun Stephens, Assistant United States Attorney, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ivan Walters appeals the district court's text order denying his petition for a writ of error coram nobis and the court's subsequent rejection of Walters' Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend that judgment and his motion to amend We have reviewed the record and find the petition. Specifically, we discern no reversible error. abuse of discretion in the court's finding that Walters did not meet the requirements for coram nobis relief. See United States v. Akinsade, 686 F.3d 248, 252 (4th Cir. 2012) (setting forth standard of review and describing required showing). discern any abuse of discretion in the district court's denial of the Rule 59(e) motion, see Sloas v. CSX Transp., Inc., 616 F.3d 380, 388 (4th Cir. 2010), or the motion to amend the See Balas v. Huntington Ingalls Indus., Inc., F.3d 401, 409 (4th Cir. 2013). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's orders. See United States v. Walters, 6:08-cr-00385-HMH-1 (D.S.C. Feb. 1, 2013 & Mar. 5, 2013). dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED