

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-6960

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

THOMAS CREIGHTON SHRADER,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Bluefield. Irene C. Berger, District Judge. (1:09-cr-00270-1)

Submitted: November 26, 2013

Decided: December 4, 2013

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Thomas Creighton Shrader, Appellant Pro Se. John Lanier File, Assistant United States Attorney, Beckley, West Virginia; Betty Adkins Pullin, Thomas Charles Ryan, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Thomas Creighton Shrader appeals the district court's orders denying his motions for new trials. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 33. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court.* United States v. Shrader (S.D. W. Va. June 3, 2013 & entered June 4, 2013; June 5, 2013 & entered June 6, 2013). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Shrader argues on appeal that the district court did not address all of his arguments in its order denying his motion for a new trial on the stalking charges. Although the district court did not address all of Shrader's arguments, we conclude that his arguments were not based on new evidence but were simply new arguments based on previously known facts.