

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 13-7274**

---

CARROLL GAYLORD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MICHAEL J. STOUFFER, Commissioner; CORIZON, INC., f/k/a C.M.S., Medical Care Provider; BOBBY SHEARIN, Warden, N.B.C.I.; MD COLIN OTTEY, Dr., N.B.C.I.; GREGG FLURY, P.A., N.B.C.I.; D. ADAMS, Head Nurse; MONICA METHENY, RN; STEVEN BRAY, RN; AUTUMN DURST, RN; TIMBELIE ADAMS, RN; WILLIAM BEEMAN, RN; BREANNA BROWN, RN, N.B.C.I.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Deborah K. Chasanow, Chief District Judge. (8:12-cv-02477-DKC)

---

Submitted: December 20, 2013 Decided: January 15, 2014

---

Before DUNCAN, DAVIS, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Carroll Gaylord, Appellant Pro Se. Stephanie Judith Lane-Weber, Assistant Attorney General, Baltimore, Maryland; Patricia H. Beall, MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN, DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C., Towson, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Carroll Gaylord appeals the district court's orders granting summary judgment to Defendants on his claims of deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006), and denying his motion filed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Gaylord v. Stouffer, No. 8:12-cv-02477-DKC (D. Md. June 12, 2013; July 11, 2013). We deny Gaylord's motions to appoint counsel and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED