## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

KINGDAWUD BURGESS,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

WARDEN ATKINSON, FCI Edgefield,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Anderson. G. Ross Anderson, Jr., Senior District Judge. (8:13-cv-01178-GRA)

Submitted: March 28, 2014 Decided: April 4, 2014

Before KING, AGEE, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Kingdawud Burgess, Appellant Pro Se. Marshall Prince, II, Assistant United States Attorney, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Kingdawud Majahid Burgess, a federal prisoner, appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2012) petition. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. In the report and recommendation, the magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Burgess that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation. Despite this warning, Burgess failed to file specific objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve magistrate appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when warned the parties have been of the consequences noncompliance. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). 1985); see also Burgess has waived appellate review of his claims by failing to file specific objections after receiving proper notice.

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED