Constance Adamson v. Socialist Corporation of KY
Appeal: 14-2028 Doc: 7 Filed: 11/24/2014 Pg: 1 of 3

## Doc. 405240661

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-2028

CONSTANCE HAUCK ADAMSON, a/k/a Constance S. Hauck,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SOCIALIST CORPORATION OF KY,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Greenville. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior District Judge. (6:14-cv-03605-HMH)

Submitted: November 20, 2014 Decided: November 24, 2014

Before KING and KEENAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Constance Hauck Adamson, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Constance Hauck Adamson appeals the district court's order dismissing her civil complaint without prejudice.\* The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2012). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Adamson that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Adamson has waived appellate review by failing to file specific objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

<sup>\*</sup> The district court's order is final and appealable. <u>See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392</u>, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993).

Appeal: 14-2028 Doc: 7 Filed: 11/24/2014 Pg: 3 of 3

before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED