US v. Juan Rios-Rio Appeal: 14-4076 Doc: 33 Filed: 11/20/2014 Pg: 1 of 2

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-4076

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JUAN RIOS-RIOS, a/k/a Servando Rios-Rios, a/k/a Angel Rios-Martinez,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, District Judge. (5:13-cr-00234-BO-1)

Submitted: November 18, 2014 Decided: November 20, 2014

Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Thomas P. McNamara, Federal Public Defender, Eric J. Brignac, Assistant Federal Public Defender, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellant. Thomas G. Walker, United States Attorney, Jennifer P. May-Parker, Kristine L. Fritz, Assistant United States Attorneys, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 405236064

Appeal: 14-4076 Doc: 33 Filed: 11/20/2014 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Juan Rios-Rios pled guilty to illegal reentry of an aggravated felon, under 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a), (b)(2) (2012), and was sentenced to sixty months of imprisonment. On appeal, Rios-Rios challenges the sixteen-level enhancement to his Sentencing Guidelines range, arguing that his North Carolina conviction for taking indecent liberties with a child is not a "crime of violence" for purposes of the illegal re-entry Guideline. <u>U.S.</u>

Sentencing Guidelines Manual (USSG) § 2L1.2(b)(1)(A)(ii) (2013).

Rios-Rios' argument is foreclosed by circuit precedent. In <u>United States v. Perez-Perez</u>, 737 F.3d 950, 952 (4th Cir. 2013), <u>cert. denied</u>, \_\_\_\_ S. Ct. \_\_\_\_, 2014 WL 2514329 (Oct. 6, 2014) (No. 13-10374), we held that taking indecent liberties with a minor under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-202.1(a) (2013), qualifies categorically as sexual abuse of a minor and therefore is a crime of violence within the meaning of USSG § 2L1.2(b)(1)(A); <u>see United States v. Diaz-Ibarra</u>, 522 F.3d 343 (4th Cir. 2008).

Accordingly, we affirm Rios-Rios' sentence. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## **AFFIRMED**