## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-6103

NATHANIEL SINGLETON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FOOD SERVICE OFFICER MR. NELSON; FOOD SERVICE MR. TAYLOR; FOOD SERVICE MR. CRAZE; FOOD SERVICE MS. JOHNSON; FOOD SERVICE MS. VENTON; ADMINISTRATIVE FOOD SERVICE BROWN; ADMINISTRATIVE FOOD SERVICE ARGLINE,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Aiken. R. Bryan Harwell, District Judge. (1:12-cv-02985-RBH-SVH)

Submitted: April 17, 2014 Decided: April 22, 2014

Before WILKINSON, KING, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Nathaniel Singleton, Appellant Pro Se. Marshall Prince, II, Assistant United States Attorney, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Nathaniel Singleton seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation to dismiss some, but not all, of Singleton's claims asserted under Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Singleton seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED