Filed: 11/21/2014 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-7260

KENNETH H. NEWKIRK,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

WILLIAM SHAW, Judge/Try Cases; KEVIN P. SHA, Lawyer/Suppose to Defend Accusers; CARTER PHILLIPS, Lawyer/Suppose to Defend,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. Henry E. Hudson, District Judge. (3:14-cv-00426-HEH-MHL)

Submitted: November 18, 2014 Decided: November 21, 2014

Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Kenneth H. Newkirk, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 405238126

Appeal: 14-7260 Doc: 11 Filed: 11/21/2014 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Kenneth H. Newkirk appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint with prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (2012) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) (2012). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Newkirk v. Shaw, No. 3:14-cv-00426-HEH-MHL (E.D. Va. Aug. 19, 2014). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED