

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-7396

OWAIIAN M. JONES,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA; THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
CITY OF ROANOKE, VIRGINIA; THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT, Western District of Virginia, Roanoke Division;
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; GOVERNOR OF
VIRGINIA; MAYOR OF CITY OF ROANOKE,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 14-7400

OWAIIAN M. JONES,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ROANOKE CITY CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES; STATE OF VIRGINIA;
WILLIAM BROADHURST; CHARLES DORSEY; JOHN DOES; TIMOTHY
ALLEN,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 14-7401

OWAIIAN M. JONES,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ROANOKE CITY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; ROANOKE CITY JAIL; ROANOKE CITY JAIL PHYSICIAN; JOHN DOES; TIMOTHY ALLEN; DR. ALI,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 14-7487

OWAIIAN M. JONES

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

WALTER L. DAVIS, SR.; EDWARD L. JENKINS; DEPUTY LEE, Roanoke City Sheriff Office; JOHN DOES,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Roanoke. Michael F. Urbanski, District Judge. (7:14-cv-00416-MFU-RSB; 7:14-cv-00408-MFU-RSB; 7:14-cv-00415-MFU-RSB; 7:14-cv-00502-MFU-RSB)

Submitted: December 16, 2014

Decided: December 19, 2014

Before DUNCAN and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and DAVIS, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Owaiian M. Jones, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Owaiian M. Jones appeals the district court's orders dismissing his complaints for failure to comply with the court orders. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Jones v. The Supreme Court of Virginia, No. 7:14-cv-00416-MFU-RSB (W.D. Va. Aug. 29, 2014); Jones v. Roanoke City Circuit Court, No. 7:14-cv-00408-MFU-RSB (W.D. Va. Aug. 29, 2014); Jones v. Roanoke City Sheriff's Office, No. 7:14-cv-00415-MFU-RSB (W.D. Va. Aug. 29, 2014); Jones v. Davis, No. 7:14-cv-00502-MFU-RSB (W.D. Va. Sept. 30, 2014). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED