Granville Tribble, Jr. v. Harold Clarke Appeal: 14-7725 Doc: 14 Filed: 04/27/2015 Pg: 1 of 3

Doc. 405436657

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-7725

GRANVILLE LLOYD TRIBBLE, JR.,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director; WARDEN OF GREENSVILLE CORRECTIONAL CENTER,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. James C. Cacheris, Senior District Judge. (1:13-cv-01260-JCC-JFA)

Submitted: April 23, 2015 Decided: April 27, 2015

Before SHEDD, DUNCAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Granville Lloyd Tribble, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Donald Eldridge Jeffrey, III, Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Granville Lloyd Tribble, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Tribble has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny Tribble's motion for a transcript at government expense, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are

Appeal: 14-7725 Doc: 14 Filed: 04/27/2015 Pg: 3 of 3

adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED