

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-1852

JOHN M. DICKSON, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

JUDGE BRYANT L. SUGG, Newport News Circuit Court 7th Judicial Court of Virginia As an "enterprise" for RICO purposes Acting as a person and member of a criminal enterprise Under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act; FORMER JUDGE MR. HOWARD VINCENT CONWAY, JR., Of the Newport News Circuit Court Formerly of 7th Judicial Court of Virginia As an "enterprise" for RICO purposes Acting as a person and member of a criminal enterprise Under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO); COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY MR. THOMAS C. DANIEL, Commonwealth's Attorney's Office For the city of Newport News Acting as a person and member of a criminal enterprise Under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO); CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS VIRGINIA, As an "enterprise" for RICO purposes Acting as a person and member of a criminal enterprise Under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO); CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS VIRGINIA POLICE DEPARTMENT, Acting as a person and member of a criminal enterprise Under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO); MR. R. DEAN BARKER, CSAT for, Hampton/Newport News. C.I.T./Jail Services Coordinator Acting as a person and member of a criminal enterprise Under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO),

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Newport News. Arenda L. Wright Allen, District Judge. (4:15-cv-00050-AWA-DEM)

Submitted: October 15, 2015

Decided: October 19, 2015

Before WILKINSON, AGEE, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

John M. Dickson, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

John M. Dickson, Jr., appeals the district court's order dismissing his civil complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (2012). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Dickson v. Sugg, No. 4:15-cv-00050-AWA-DEM (E.D. Va. July 2, 2015). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED