

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-2154

In Re: ROBERT E. GREEN, SR.,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.
(1:99-cv-02941-MJG)

Submitted: January 19, 2016

Decided: January 21, 2016

Before NIEMEYER, KING, and GREGORY, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Robert E. Green, Sr., Petitioner Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Robert E. Green, Sr., petitions for a writ of mandamus, seeking an order allowing him to enter a federal courthouse from which he has been barred by court orders in order to receive a sum of money he believes is on deposit there.* We conclude that Green is not entitled to mandamus relief.

Mandamus relief is a drastic remedy and should be used only in extraordinary circumstances. Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court, 426 U.S. 394, 402 (1976); United States v. Moussaoui, 333 F.3d 509, 516-17 (4th Cir. 2003). Further, mandamus relief is available only when the petitioner has a clear right to the relief sought. In re First Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 860 F.2d 135, 138 (4th Cir. 1988). Mandamus may not be used as a substitute for appeal. In re Lockheed Martin Corp., 503 F.3d 351, 353 (4th Cir. 2007).

The relief sought by Green is not available by way of mandamus. Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. We deny Green's motions to seal and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are

* Green previously filed an unsuccessful appeal of these orders and an order denying a related motion. See Green v. Mayor and City Council, 532 F. App'x 413 (4th Cir. 2013) (No. 13-1319).

adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED