

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-6079

HENRY RAY DUNCAN, II,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

HAROLD CLARKE, Director of VA DOC, sued individually and in official capacity; G. M. HINKLE, Warden, Greensville Correctional Center, sued individually and in official capacity; M. R. HANDSOME, Lieutenant, Greensville Correctional Center, sued individually and in official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, Senior District Judge. (3:12-cv-00482-JRS)

Submitted: September 4, 2015 Decided: September 14, 2015

Before WILKINSON, KING, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Henry Ray Duncan, II, Appellant Pro Se. James Milburn Isaacs, Jr., OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Henry Ray Duncan, II, appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment to the Defendants and dismissing his civil rights complaint. We review a district court's order granting summary judgment de novo, viewing the facts and drawing reasonable inferences in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. Pender v. Bank of Am. Corp., 788 F.3d 354, 361 (4th Cir. 2015). Summary judgment is appropriate when "there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Duncan v. Clarke, No. 3:12-cv-00482-JRS (E.D. Va. Jan. 6, 2015). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED