

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-6221

MARIE ASSA'AD-FALTAS, MD, MPH,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA, THE STATE OF; COLUMBIA SOUTH CAROLINA, THE CITY OF, hereinafter "the City",

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 15-6222

MARIE THERESE ASSA'AD-FALTAS, MD, MPH,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA, THE STATE OF; COLUMBIA SOUTH CAROLINA, THE CITY OF, hereinafter "the City",

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 15-6223

MARIE THERESE ASSA'AD-FALTAS, MD, MPH,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA, THE STATE OF; COLUMBIA SOUTH CAROLINA, CITY OF, hereinafter "the City",

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 15-6225

MARIE THERESE ASSA'AD-FALTAS, MD MPH,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA, THE STATE OF,

Respondent - Appellee,

and

COLUMBIA SOUTH CAROLINA, THE CITY OF,

Respondent.

No. 15-6236

MARIE ASSA'AD-FALTAS, MD, MPH,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE CITY OF COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA, hereinafter "the City",

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Aiken. Terry L. Wooten, Chief District Judge. (1:15-cv-00045-TLW; 1:15-cv-00044-TLW; 1:15-cv-00047-TLW; 1:14-cv-04811-TLW; 1:15-cv-00046-TLW)

Submitted: October 20, 2015

Decided: October 22, 2015

Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas seeks to appeal the district court's orders accepting the recommendations of the magistrate judge to deny relief on her 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petitions. Assa'ad-Faltas has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis, as well as a motion for appointment of counsel, in each appeal.

The orders Assa'ad-Faltas seeks to appeal are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Assa'ad-Faltas has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny her applications to proceed in forma pauperis, deny her motions for appointment of counsel, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeals. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED