

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-6288**

---

NATHANIEL DANTE RICE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DAYMON BYRD, Senior Officer; OFFICER HIGHLANDER; OFFICER  
QUINN; OFFICER MCHENRY; LIEUTENANT VARGAS,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

OFFICER JOHN DOE; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; OFFICER  
SINGLETON; OFFICER O'BRYANT; LIEUTENANT WRIGHT; LIEUTENANT  
CRADDOCK; LIEUTENANT MURPHY; LIEUTENANT GERALD; UNIT  
MANAGER CAMACHO; ASSOCIATE WARDEN HISCOCKS; COUNSELOR  
HOPKINS; COUNSEL LASSITER; COUNSELOR DAUGHETY; COUNSEL  
BROOKS; PA DERRY; PA LECURIE; PA/OFFICER CANADA; NURSE  
CARTER; OFFICER TORRES; OFFICER KELLER; OFFICER ROCK;  
OFFICER MCGEE; OFFICER GOOLSBY; OFFICER ANDERSONMIC;  
OFFICER SMITHWILL; OFFICER NEWSOME; OFFICER SANFORD;  
OFFICER STATEN; OFFICER ARIAS; OFFICER GLASS; OFFICER KLUX;  
OFFICER PERRY; OFFICER BULLOCK; OFFICER WOODS; OFFICER  
FANUEF; MID-ATLANTIC REMEDY COORDINATOR; CENTRAL OFFICE  
COORDINATOR; ADMINISTRATOR COORDINATOR, at Butner FCI 2;  
ADMINISTRATOR COORDINATOR, at Talladega FCI; HEALTH  
SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR; ASSISTANT HEALTH SERVICES  
ADMINISTRATOR KILPATRICK; REGIONAL DIRECTOR, in official  
capacity; GENERAL COUNSEL, in official capacity; DIRECTOR  
OF BUREAU OF PRISONS, in official capacity; FEDERAL BUREAU  
OF PRISONS,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, District Judge. (5:11-ct-03218-BO)

---

Submitted: August 27, 2015

Decided: August 31, 2015

---

Before GREGORY, AGEE, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Nathaniel Dante Rice, Appellant Pro Se. Christina Ann Kelley, BUREAU OF PRISONS, Butner, North Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Nathaniel Dante Rice appeals the district court's orders denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, although we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Rice v. Byrd, No. 5:11-ct-03218-BO (E.D.N.C. Aug. 21, 2013 & Feb. 12, 2015). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED