US v. Ted Evan Doughty Appeal: 15-6380 Doc: 12 Filed: 05/11/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		i
_	No. 15-6380	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
TED EVAN DOUGHTY,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dis Hill. Margaret B. Seymour, Sent 00689-MBS)		
Submitted: April 28, 2017		Decided: May 11, 2017
Before TRAXLER and SHEDD, Ca	ircuit Judges, and H	AMILTON, Senior District Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Ted Evan Doughty, Appellant Pro United States Attorneys, Columbia,		·
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

Appeal: 15-6380 Doc: 12 Filed: 05/11/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Ted Evan Doughty seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Doughty has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny Doughty's motion for appointment of counsel, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED