Myron Nunn v. Ricky Matthew Appeal: 15-6469 Doc: 10 Filed: 05/27/2015 Pg: 1 of 3

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-6469

MYRON RODERICK NUNN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RICKY MATTHEWS; COLBERT L. RESPASS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. James C. Dever, III, Chief District Judge. (5:14-ct-03150-D)

Decided: May 27, 2015 Submitted: May 21, 2015

Before MOTZ, KING, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Myron Roderick Nunn, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 405476827

## PER CURIAM:

Myron Roderick Nunn seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) action. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded thirty days after the entry of the district court's final judgment to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on December 23, 2014. The notice of appeal was filed on March 20, 2015.\* Because Nunn failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the

<sup>\*</sup> For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the date appearing on the notice of appeal is the earliest date it could have been properly delivered to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c); Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988).

Appeal: 15-6469 Doc: 10 Filed: 05/27/2015 Pg: 3 of 3

materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED