

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-6896

JAMES A. HENSON, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BRADLEY A. WILT; WALTER ISER; BRIAN IAMES; T. L. SIRES;
WILLIAM E. MILLER; ROBERT M. FRIEND; DALE SMITH; SGT.
PUFFENBERG; NICOLAS SOLTAS; STEVEN J. MILLER; SHAWN MURRAY;
JESSE L. LAMBERT; P. DEIST; C.O. II ANDERSON; B.E. FANN;
C.O. II SAVILLE; C.O. II GILPEN; JOHN SINDY; MR. HERBOLD;
MR. LITTIER; MRS. HOLWAGER; C.O. II WALTERS; M. SMITH; NP
JANETTE CLARK; RODNEY O. LIKIN; GUARDS JON DOE; RICHARD
MILLER; RICHARD S. RODERICK; WILLIAM S. BOHRER;
SUBORDINATES IN HV #1@ NBCI, (HV #4@WCI); ROBERT M. FRIEND;
FRANK B. BISHOP. JR.; J. PHILIP MORGAN, SR.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Baltimore. Richard D. Bennett, District Judge.
(1:14-cv-03724-RDB; 1:14-cv-03825-RDB; 1:15-cv-00028-RDB)

Submitted: October 28, 2015

Decided: November 3, 2015

Before WILKINSON, KING, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

James A. Henson, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

James A. Henson, Jr., appeals the district court's order dismissing his consolidated 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) actions without prejudice. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Henson v. Wilt, Nos. 1:14-cv-03724-RDB; 1:14-cv-03825-RDB; 1:15-cv-00028-RDB (D. Md. Jan. 4, 2015). We deny Henson's motion to appoint counsel and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED