

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-7115

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CHRISTOPHER ANTONIO DAVIS, a/k/a PT,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Aiken. Margaret B. Seymour, Senior District Judge. (1:10-cr-00968-MBS-1)

Submitted: December 17, 2015

Decided: December 31, 2015

Before KING and FLOYD, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Christopher Antonio Davis, Appellant Pro Se. Stanley D. Ragsdale, Julius Ness Richardson, Assistant United States Attorneys, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Antonio Davis appeals the district court's order denying his motion for a reduction of sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2012). We generally review an order denying a § 3582(c)(2) motion for abuse of discretion. See United States v. Goines, 357 F.3d 469, 478 (4th Cir. 2004). We review de novo, however, a district court's determination of the scope of its authority under § 3582(c)(2). United States v. Dunphy, 551 F.3d 247, 250 (4th Cir. 2009). Based on our review of the record and the relevant legal authorities, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying Davis' motion for a sentence reduction. We therefore affirm the district court's order. We deny Davis' motion for appointment of counsel and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED