

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-7229

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CHANDAR BINGHAM,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Richmond. James R. Spencer, Senior District Judge. (3:01-cr-00270-JRS-3)

Submitted: December 17, 2015

Decided: December 22, 2015

Before DIAZ and HARRIS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Chandar Bingham, Appellant Pro Se. Jessica D. Aber, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Laura Colombell Marshall, Elizabeth Wu, Assistant United States Attorneys, Richmond, Virginia, Jasmine Hyejung Yoon, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Chandar Bingham appeals the district court's order denying Bingham's 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2012) motion for sentence reduction based on Amendment 782 to the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual (2014). Based on our review of the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion based on the risk Bingham poses to public safety. See United States v. Smalls, 720 F.3d 193, 195 (4th Cir. 2013) ("Whether to reduce a sentence and to what extent is a matter within the court's discretion."). Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See United States v. Bingham, No. 3:01-cr-00270-JRS-3 (E.D. Va. July 24, 2015). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED