UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_____

No. 15-7584
_____

JAMES LESTER ROUDABUSH, JR.,

             Plaintiff - Appellant,

        v.

THEODORE C. NELSON, SA, U.S. Department of State; JASON
CALLAHAN, Detective, Prince William County Police
Department; STEPHAN HUDSON, Chief, Prince William Police
Department; REBECCA THATCHER, Assistant Commonwealth
Attorney; JOHN/JANE DOE, Prince William County Police
Department, Evidence Sect. Director; CHRIS FELDMAN,

             Defendants - Appellees.

_____

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, Chief
District Judge. (2:13-cv-00641-RBS-DEM)

_____

Submitted: January 14, 2016   Decided: January 20, 2016

_____

Before AGEE, WYNN, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

_____

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

_____

James Lester Roudabush, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Mark Anthony
Exley, Assistant United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia, for
Appellee.

_____

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

James Lester Roudabush, Jr., appeals from the district court's October 3, 2014, order dismissing Defendants Feldman, Thatcher, and Hudson, the court's July 7, 2015, order granting Defendant Nelson's motion for summary judgment, and the court's September 2, 2015, order denying his motion for reconsideration, granting his motion for voluntary dismissal, and dismissing his action raising claims under <u>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics</u>, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012).

On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. <u>See</u> 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Roudabush's informal brief does not proffer specific argument challenging the bases for the district court's dispositions, Roudabush has forfeited appellate review of the court's orders. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

<div align="right"><u>AFFIRMED</u></div>