

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 16-1283**

---

EDITH J. BEAUCHAMP; KCB, minor child of Edith J. Beauchamp;  
AJB, minor child of Edith J. Beauchamp; VIRGINIA WALCOTT  
BEAUCHAMP, mother of Edith J. Beauchamp,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND; PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF  
SOCIAL SERVICES; CITY OF GREENBELT; SAMIR MALHOTRA,  
Secretary of Human Resources; VAN T. MITCHELL, Secretary of  
Health & Mental Hygiene; MICHAEL MCLAUGHLIN, City Manager,  
City of Greenbelt; SHIREEN BLAIR, Crisis Counselor,  
Greenbelt CARES,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Theodore D. Chuang, District Judge.  
(8:14-cv-02667-TDC)

---

Submitted: September 13, 2016 Decided: September 16, 2016

---

Before TRAXLER, AGEE, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Edith J. Beauchamp, KCB, AJB, Virginia Walcott Beauchamp,  
Appellants Pro Se. Brian E. Frosh, Attorney General, Hilma Joy  
Munson, Assistant Attorney General, Baltimore, Maryland; Kevin  
Bock Karpinski, Sandra Diana Lee, KARPINSKI, COLARESI &

KARP, PA, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Edith J. Beauchamp seeks to appeal the district court's orders dismissing her civil rights action and denying her Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order denying Beauchamp's Rule 59(e) motion was entered on the docket on November 23, 2015. Beauchamp subsequently filed her notice of appeal on December 31, 2015. Because Beauchamp failed to file a timely notice of appeal, and the district court denied Beauchamp's motion to extend the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED