lana Rata v. Loretta Lynch
Appeal: 16-1292 Doc: 26 Filed: 01/24/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-1292

IANA RATA; ARA ARARAT TIRATSVYAN,

Petitioners,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

Submitted: December 16, 2016 Decided: January 24, 2017

Before NIEMEYER, DUNCAN, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ronald D. Richey, LAW OFFICE OF RONALD D. RICHEY, Rockville, Maryland, for Petitioners. Benjamin C. Mizer, Principal Assistant Attorney General, Nancy Friedman, Senior Litigation Counsel, Margaret A. O'Donnell, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-1292 Doc: 26 Filed: 01/24/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Iana Rata, a native and citizen of Moldova, and her husband, derivative beneficiary Ara A. Tiratsvyan, petition for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) denying Rata's motion to reopen as untimely and numerically barred. We have reviewed the administrative record and Rata's claims, and conclude that the Board did not abuse its discretion in denying her motion. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a) (2016); Mosere v. Mukasey, 552 F.3d 397, 400 (4th Cir. 2009). We accordingly deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. See In re Rata (B.I.A. Feb. 22, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED