Hoai Thanh v. Hien Ngo Appeal: 16-1391 Doc: 37 Filed: 04/06/2017 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	2
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 16-1391		
HOAI THANH,			
Plaintiff - App	pellant,		
v.			
HIEN T. NGO,			
Defendant - A	appellee.		
	No. 16-1397		
HOAI THANH,			
Plaintiff - App	pellant,		
v.			
HIEN T. NGO,			
Defendant - A	appellee.		
Appeals from the United States Dependent of the Peter J. Messitte, Senior District June 1988			
Submitted: February 24, 2017		Decided:	April 6, 2017

Appeal: 16-1391 Doc: 37 Filed: 04/06/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Before AGEE, KEENAN, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Laurence A. Elgin, Washington, D.C., for Appellant. Joseph Daniel Gallagher, GILL,

Laurence A. Elgin, Washington, D.C., for Appellant. Joseph Daniel Gallagher, GILL, SIPPEL & GALLAGHER, Rockville, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-1391 Doc: 37 Filed: 04/06/2017 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Hoai Thanh appeals the district court's orders: (1) denying his motions to reconsider a prior order granting summary judgment in favor of the Defendant in the underlying defamation action, and (2) denying his motions to reconsider the dismissal of his independent complaint asserting fraud upon the court, Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(d). We have reviewed the record included on appeal, as well as the district court's opinions, and have found no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Hoai Thanh v. Hien Ngo, Nos. 8:11-cv-01992-PJM; 8:15-cv-03441-PJM (D. Md. Feb. 5, 2016; May 17, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED