

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-1413

RETHA PIERCE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

OFF CHARLES BRYANT; CHIEF RANDY RIZZO; CHARLENE TAYLOR;
JOSEPHINE ISOM,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

DONNELL THOMPSON; EARLENE EVANS WOODS; TRACY EDGE,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Florence. Bruce H. Hendricks, District
Judge. (4:14-cv-02927-BHH)

Submitted: January 13, 2017

Decided: January 30, 2017

Before WYNN and THACKER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Retha Pierce, Appellant Pro Se. Charles J. Boykin, Kenneth A.
Davis, Shawn Davis Eubanks, BOYKIN DAVIS & SMILEY, LLC,
Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Retha Pierce appeals the district court's order dismissing her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint with respect to Defendants Bryant, Isom, Rizzo, and Taylor.* On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Pierce's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Pierce has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. See Williams v. Giant Food Inc., 370 F.3d 423, 430 n.4 (4th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, although we grant Pierce's application to proceed in forma pauperis, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* The order Pierce appeals was not a final order when she noted her appeal because it did not dispose of all the claims against all defendants named in the complaint. See Robinson v. Parke-Davis & Co., 685 F.2d 912, 913 (4th Cir. 1982) (per curiam). Nevertheless, we have jurisdiction over Pierce's appeal because, subsequent to the filing of the notice of appeal, the district court issued a final judgment that dismissed the remaining defendants named in the complaint. In re Bryson, 406 F.3d 284, 287-89 (4th Cir. 2005).