

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-1500

BRETT KIMBERLIN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP; UNITED STATES CHAMBER OF COMMERCE;
PALANTIR TECHNOLOGIES; BERICO TECHNOLOGIES; MANTECH
INTERNATIONAL; HB GARY/FEDERAL; JOHN WOODS; RICHARD WYATT;
ROBERT QUACKENBOSS; GREG HOGLUND; AARON BARR; ALEX KARP;
MATT LONG; NICHOLAS HALLAM; MATTHEW STECKMAN; PAT RYAN; SAM
KREMIN; JOHN DOE Chamber of Commerce Employees; PACIFIC
NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY; WILLIAM HOGE; STRATIO
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, LLC; BILL NICKLESS,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt. George J. Hazel, District Judge.
(8:15-cv-00723-GJH)

Submitted: November 30, 2016

Decided: December 7, 2016

Before WILKINSON and HARRIS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON,
Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Brett Kimberlin, Appellant Pro Se. Barrett James Anderson, John
J. Buckley, Jr., Craig Darren Singer, WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY, LLP,
Washington, D.C.; Bobby Roy Burchfield, Nicholas Andrew Oldham,
Johnny H. Walker, KING & SPALDING, LLP, Washington, D.C.; Allen

M. Gardner, Alexandra P. Shechtel, Jonathan C. Su, LATHAM & WATKINS, Washington, D.C.; Sara A. Solow, LATHAM & WATKINS, New York, New York; Attison Leonard Barnes, III, Mark B. Sweet, Jennifer Stacey Zucker, WILEY REIN, LLP, Washington, D.C.; Daniel Jack Blum, Glenn Marshal Cooper, Patricia Maureen Weaver, PALEY, ROTHMAN & COOPER CHARTERED, Bethesda, Maryland; Kenneth Bernard Weckstein, BROWN RUDNICK, LLP, Washington, D.C.; Mark Nelson Bartlett, DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP, Seattle, Washington; Lisa Beth Zycherman, DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP, Washington, D.C.; Aaron Justin Walker, Manassas, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Brett Kimberlin appeals the district court's order granting the motions to dismiss his civil complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Kimberlin v. Hunton & Williams LLP, No. 8:15-cv-00723-GJH (D. Md. Mar. 29, 2016). We deny Kimberlin's motion to show cause. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED