Riying Wang v. Loretta Lynch Appeal: 16-1666 Doc: 20 Filed: 01/10/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-1666

RIYING WANG,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

Submitted: December 16, 2016 Decided: January 10, 2017

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and WYNN and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Riying Wang, Petitioner Pro Se. Benjamin C. Mizer, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Briena L. Stippoli, Senior Litigation Counsel, Karen L. Melnik, OFFICE OF IMMIGRATION LITIGATION, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 406350492

## PER CURIAM:

Riying Wang, a native and citizen of the People's Republic of China, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) dismissing his appeal from the Immigration Judge's denial of his requests for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. We have thoroughly reviewed the record, including the transcript of Wang's merits hearings and all supporting evidence. We conclude that the record evidence does not compel a ruling contrary to any of the agency's factual findings, see 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B) (2012), and that substantial evidence supports the Board's decision, INS v. Elias-Zacarias, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992).

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. See In re Wang (B.I.A. May 16, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## PETITION DENIED