Glenn Stewart v. Riverside Technology, Inc. Appeal: 16-1891 Doc: 46 Filed: 03/14/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406438446

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-1891

GLENN A. STEWART,

Petitioner,

v.

RIVERSIDE TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED; TRANSPORTATION INSURANCE; DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Respondents.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Benefits Review Board. (15-0436)

Submitted: February 28, 2017 Decided: March 14, 2017

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and WYNN and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Andrew Hanley, Andrew Penny, CROSSLEY MCINTOSH COLLIER HANLEY & EDES, PLLC, Wilmington, North Carolina, for Petitioner. M. Patricia Smith, Solicitor of Labor, Maia S. Fisher, Associate Solicitor, Mark Reinhalter, Counsel for Longshore, Sean G. Bajkowski, Counsel for Appellate Litigation, Matthew W. Boyle, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, Washington, D.C., for Respondents.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-1891 Doc: 46 Filed: 03/14/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Glenn A. Stewart seeks review of the Benefits Review Board's decision and order affirming the administrative law judge's denial of longshore disability benefits pursuant to 33 U.S.C. §§ 901-950 (2012). Our review of the record discloses that the Board's decision is based upon substantial evidence and is without reversible error. Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. Stewart v. Riverside Tech., Inc., No. 15-0436 (B.R.B. June 6, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED