

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 16-1910**

---

YURI J. STOYANOV,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CHARLES BEHRLE, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of the Carderock Division; GARY M. JEBSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 70; KEVIN M. WILSON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 74; BRUCE CROCK, Individually and in his Official Capacity as the Head of Code 743; DAVID CARON, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Assistant Counsel Code 39; CATHERINE KISSMEIER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Counsel Code 40; GARTH JENSEN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Deputy Head Code 70; MARY (CATHY) FOWLER, Individually and in her Official Capacity as Administrative Officer Code 70; KENNETH FORMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 73; KENNETH GOLDMAN, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Head of Code 71; ARCHER MACY, Individually and in His Official Capacity as the Head of Naval Surface Warfare Center; RAY MABUS,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Deborah K. Chasanow, Senior District Judge. (1:07-cv-01985-DKC)

---

Submitted: November 22, 2016

Decided: November 29, 2016

---

Before DIAZ and THACKER, Circuit Judges, and DAVIS, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Yuri J. Stoyanov, Appellant Pro Se. Allen F. Loucks, Assistant  
United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Yuri J. Stoyanov appeals the district court's orders entered after the district court dismissed Stoyanov's claims against Defendants, including claims brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (2012), and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 to 634 (2012). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's orders. See Stoyanov v. Behrle, No. 1:07-cv-01985-DKC (D. Md. Aug. 26, 2015 & June 13, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED