Mushtaq Mohammad v. Loretta Lynch Appeal: 16-2334 Doc: 33

Filed: 05/26/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-2334
MUSHTAQ MOHAMMAD,
Petitioner,
v.
JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney General,
Respondent.
<u> </u>
On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.
Submitted: May 9, 2017 Decided: May 26, 2017
Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.
Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.
Richard W. Chen, New York, New York, for Petitioner. Chad A. Readler, Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Anthony W. Norwood, Senior Litigation Counsel Lisa M. Damiano, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 406540830

Appeal: 16-2334 Doc: 33 Filed: 05/26/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Mushtaq Mohammad, a native and citizen of Pakistan, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) dismissing his appeal from the immigration judge's decision denying his applications for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. We have thoroughly reviewed the record, the transcript of Mohammad's merits hearing, and Mohammad's supporting affidavit and evidence. We conclude that the record evidence does not compel a ruling contrary to any of the agency's factual findings, *see* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B) (2012), and that substantial evidence supports the Board's decision. *See INS v. Elias–Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992). Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. *See In re Mohammad* (B.I.A. Oct. 21, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED