Christine Samuel v. James H. Dickey Appeal: 16-2352 Doc: 21

Filed: 08/28/2017 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 16-2352	
CHRISTINE SAMUEL, as Natura	l Parent and Guardia	ı of CSD,
Plaintiff - Appellee,		
V.		
JAMES H. DICKEY; JAMES H. I	DICKEY LAW FIRM	1,
Defendants - Appella	nts.	
Appeal from the United States I Florence. Terry L. Wooten, Chief		
Submitted: August 24, 2017		Decided: August 28, 2017
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, a	and DIAZ, Circuit Jud	lge.*
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
James H. Dickey, Appellant Pro Georgetown, South Carolina, for A		ophlin, BELL LEGAL GROUP,
* This opinion is filed by a (2012).	a quorum of the pan	el pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 46(d)

Doc. 406661218

Appeal: 16-2352 Doc: 21 Filed: 08/28/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-2352 Doc: 21 Filed: 08/28/2017 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

James H. Dickey seeks to appeal the district court's orders granting a default judgment against him and denying his two Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motions. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on October 21, 2016. The notice of appeal was filed on November 28, 2016. Because Dickey failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED