

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-6661

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

BENJAMIN LEE STOWERS, a/k/a Benji Stowers,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 16-6667

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

TRAVIS LEE JONES,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 16-6708

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CHAD DAVID ROBINSON,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 16-6782

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ROBERT LEE BENNETT,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Roanoke. Glen E. Conrad, Chief District Judge. (7:11-cr-00053-GEC-1; 7:11-cr-00039-GEC-RSB-6; 7:12-cr-00015-GEC-3; 7:09-cr-00045-GEC-1)

Submitted: June 28, 2017

Decided: July 20, 2017

Before MOTZ and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Larry W. Shelton, Federal Public Defender, Christine Madeleine Lee, Assistant Federal Public Defender, Roanoke, Virginia, for Appellants. John P. Fishwick, Jr., United States Attorney, Jean B. Hudson, Assistant United States Attorney, Charlottesville, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Benjamin Lee Stowers, Travis Lee Jones, Chad David Robinson, and Robert Lee Bennett appeal the district court's orders denying their motions to reduce sentence. We have reviewed the parties' briefs and the record on appeal and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *United States v. Jones*, No. 7:11-cr-00039-GEC-RSB-6 (W.D. Va. May 11, 2016); *United States v. Robinson*, No. 7:12-cr-00015-GEC-3 (W.D. Va. May 10, 2016); *United States v. Bennett*, No. 7:09-cr-00045-GEC-1 (W.D. Va. May 10, 2016); *United States v. Stowers*, No. 7:11-cr-00053-GEC-1 (W.D. Va. May 9, 2016). We deny as moot the pending motion to place this case in abeyance and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED